

REMARKS

Claims 11-15, 54, 55, 57-60, and 96 have been amended to clarify the subject matter regarded as the invention. Claims 11-15, 54, 55, 57-60, and 96 are pending.

Claims 11-15, 54, 55, 57-60, and 96 stand rejected based on 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,263,497 ("Wiser"). The Office Action states:

Wiser discloses, e.g. Figs. 1-14 and related text, a network entertainment unit, e.g. 100, a remote central resource, e.g. 112, a master list, e.g. 106, 120, a Wide Area Network (WAN), e.g. Internet, and a user input device, e.g. 126, including entertainment content items. Wiser does not disclose the term content management logic. However, Official Notice is taken that logic used to work with devices such as disclosed by Wiser has been common knowledge in the art.

To have provided such for Wiser would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art as one would have been motivated to use such common knowledge computer means to execute the entertainment unit 100. (Office Action, mailed 10/16/2008, at 2 and 3).

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. As quoted above, the Office Action admits that "Wiser does not disclose the term content management logic." (*Id.*, at 2). As amended, Claim 11 also recites "a local area network (LAN) interface, through which the first network entertainment unit is configured to communicate with a second network entertainment unit in a venue, wherein the first network entertainment unit and the second network entertainment unit are both located in the venue."

Moreover, Claim 11 as amended further recites the following:

content management logic that, when a selected entertainment content item is not stored in the local memory of the first network entertainment unit, causes:

- i) requesting over the LAN interface the selected entertainment content item, wherein the second network entertainment unit and the first network entertainment unit are both located in a first venue;
- ii) when the selected entertainment content item is not stored in the second network entertainment unit, requesting over the WAN interface the selected entertainment content item.

Accordingly, for at least these reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 11 is allowable over Wisner.


Claims 12-15, 54, 55, and 57-60 depend from Claim 11 and are believed to be allowable for at least the same reasons described above.

Claim 96 as amended is believed to be allowable for at least the same reasons as discussed above with respect to Claim 11.

Reconsideration of the application and allowance of all claims are respectfully requested based on the preceding remarks. If at any time the Examiner believes that an interview would be helpful, please contact the undersigned.

Dated: 4-15-09

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Schallop
Registration No. 44,319
V 408-207-4762
F 408-973-2595

VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP
10050 N. Foothill Blvd., Suite 200
Cupertino, CA 95014